

February 2, 1995    LB 36, 53, 54, 65, 70, 123, 144, 171  
179, 196, 197, 339, 352, 360, 384, 425  
445, 494, 614  
LR 3

Alliance; Lou Towater, from Scottsbluff, and Wayne Kness, from Bayard. They're all under the south balcony and standing for you to recognize. Mr. Clerk, any items for the record?

CLERK: Yes, Madam President, I have several things. Enrollment and Review reports LB...or LR 3CA, LB 179, LB 53, LB 36, LB 196, LB 197 and LB 352 to Select File. Natural Resource Committee reports LB 54 indefinitely postponed. Education, LB 123 to General File with amendments; and LB 70, LB 171, LB 360, LB 445 as indefinitely postponed. Signed by Senator Bohke. Banking reports LB 384 to General File; LB 614, General File; LB 339, General File with amendments; LB 425, General File with amendments; LB 494, General File with amendments. Those signed by Senator Landis. (See pages 575-83 of the Legislative Journal.)

In addition to those items, Madam President, I have a series of hearing notices from the Agriculture Committee, from the Business and Labor Committee, and from Retirement Committee, and from the Revenue Committee. Those are signed by the respective chairs. Gubernatorial appointments will be referred to Reference. Referral of LB 886. Hearing notices from Natural Resources and Revenue. I have a confirmation report from the Natural Resources Committee; the lobby report for this week; and a series of amendments to LB 144 by Senator Bernard-Stevens. That's all that I have, Madam President. (See page 588 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. We return to the debate on LB 65. Senator Chambers, your light is next. Senator Chambers. Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABBODD: Madam President, colleagues, I'd like to talk for just a short time more about the bill, just on some final points here. One of the issues that has been before some of the national organizations, Council of State Governments, in particular, has been the presidential nominating process. And there are certain restrictions that have been applied to states, as well as to the political parties that are in each one of the states. Part of the restriction that states are now facing, or political parties in those states are now facing is that they can't be before the Iowa caucus. I believe the...as I recall, the State of Michigan has attempted to go in front of the Iowa caucus, but they wanted to begin the process on that particular date instead of starting the presidential primaries probably